

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/009,041	11/08/2001	Rodger H. Rast	IDOSE_01 6433	
7	590 08/27/200			
Rodger H Rast			EXAMINER	
Rastar Corporation Suite L 11292 Coloma Rd. Gold River, CA 95670			PARADISO, JOHN ROGER	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3721	<u> </u>
			DATE MAILED: 08/27/2003	(0)

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•						
./		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/009,041	RAST, RODGER H.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		John R. Paradiso	3721			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status 1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 N</u>	lovember 2001				
2a)□						
3)□	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. <b>Disposition of Claims</b>						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)[	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)	c) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) 1-35 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) be of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/009,041

Art Unit: 3721

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-8, drawn to a system for providing individualized doses, classified in class 53 subclass 55
  - II. Claims 9-10, drawn to a system for generating orders, classified in class 700.
  - III. Claims 11-17, drawn to an apparatus for packaging pills, classified in class 53, subclass 509.
  - IV. Claims 18-35, drawn to a method of dispensing individualized doses classified in class 53, subclass 474.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the interface of the combination has many other usable functions. The subcombination has separate utility such as accessing patient information, without packaging any pills.

Application/Control Number: 10/009,041

Art Unit: 3721

- 3. Inventions I and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as for packaging and labeling small quantities of pills, without the need for the supply lines and conveyors of Invention II. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 4. Inventions IV and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the apparatus of Invention I can be used to package pills for a pre-existing dosing schedule, obviating the need to establish a dosing schedule, as in Invention IV.
- 5. Inventions III and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the interface of the combination has many other usable functions. The subcombination has separate utility such as accessing patient information, without packaging any pills.

Application/Control Number: 10/009,041

Art Unit: 3721

- 6. Inventions IV and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the interface of the combination has many other usable functions. The subcombination has separate utility such as accessing patient information, without packaging any pills.
- 7. Inventions IV and III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the apparatus of Invention III can be used to package pills for a pre-existing dosing schedule, obviating the need to establish a dosing schedule, as in Invention IV.
- 8. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/009,041 Page 5

Art Unit: 3721

9. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

## Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Paradiso. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday, 9:30 p.m. – 6:00 p.m. (ET).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada, can be reached at the number listed below.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the 3700 Technology Center Receptionist.

Examiner John Paradiso: (703) 308-2825

August 22, 2003

Additional Phone Numbers:

 Supervisor Rinaldi Rada:
 (703) 308-2187

 Receptionist:
 (703) 308-1148

 Customer Service:
 (703) 306-5648

 Fax (TC 3700 Official):
 (703) 872-9302

 Fax (TC 3700 After Final):
 (703) 872-9303